

METHOD AND APPARATUS OF DETERMINING DEFECT-FREE

SEMICONDUCTOR INTEGRATED CIRCUIT

5 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a method of determining a defect-free semiconductor integrated circuit such as complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) integrated circuit.

2. Description of the Related Art

Many of semiconductor integrated circuits (ICs) are simultaneously formed on a semiconductor wafer by a same design rule, and in same production conditions. However, all of the ICs are always defect-free, and the inspection for the ICs is required. Many inspection methods have been proposed.

Japanese Unexamined Patent Publication (Kokai) No. 8-271584, Japanese Unexamined Patent Publication (Kokai) No. 9-211088, U.S. Patent No. 5392293, U.S. Patent No. 5519333, and U.S. Patent No. 5889408 describe a quiescent power supply current (QPSC, I_{DDQ}) of a CMOS integrated circuit which is a test using an I_{DDQ} .

In an I_{DDQ} test, the measurement of the quiescent power supply current of a CMOS integrated

circuit (IC) is carried out and the determination of defective or indefectible (defect-free) CMOS integrated circuit is carried out based on the measured value. Note that the CMOS integrated circuit to be tested is also
5 called a "IC device under test" (DUT).

The quiescent power supply current I_{DDQ} many include a leakage current flowing even in a defect-free (indefectible) device called as "an intrinsic leakage current" or "a normal leakage current") and a defect
10 current occurring due to a defect in the DUT. That is, the quiescent power supply current I_{DDQ} is defined as the total of the normal leakage current and the defect current.

The normal leakage current can be expressed by
15 the total of the leakage current (FET leakage current) generated from the structure of the metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistors (MOSFETs) and the leakage current (circuit leakage current) occurring due to circuit operation. The circuit leakage current may be
20 generated by analog circuits, pull-up circuits, bus collision, etc.

In an I_{DDQ} test measuring the quiescent power supply current of a CMOS integrated circuit (IC) device and determining an IC device to be defective when the
25 measured value is more than a threshold value, as

09564540-092801

described in T. W. Williams, R.H. Dennard, and R. Kapur,
"Iddq Test: Sensitivity Analysis of Scaling", in *Int.*
Test Conf., pp. 786-792, IEEE, 1996, accurate
determination is sometimes difficult. The reason is, for
5 example, when the interconnection patterns in an CMOS
integrated circuit are extremely fine, the leakage
current of the MOSFETs (FET leakage current) increases
exponentially along with the fineness. Therefore, various
methods have been proposed to reduce the FET leakage
10 current during an I_{DDQ} test.

As a method for reducing the FET leakage
current during an I_{DDQ} test, there is known the method of
lowering the threshold value by lowering the FET leakage
current when strobing. As such the method, a low power
15 supply voltage method, low temperature measurement
method, and well bias method are known.

Low Power Supply Voltage Method

This method is a reduction method by utilizing
the fact that the leakage current falls when the power
20 supply voltage V_D is lowered. In this method, however,
the lowering of the power supply voltage V_D is limited to
an extent where no circuit malfunction occurs, so the
rate of reduction of the FET leakage current is low.
Also, raising or lowering the power supply voltage V_D
25 before and after strobing takes several milliseconds

(msec), so it takes a long test time, as a result, the cost increases along with this increase in the testing time.

According to A.E. Gattiker and W. Maly, "Toward
5 Understanding 'Iddq-Only' Fails", in *Int. Test Conf.*, pp.
174-183, IEEE, 1998, a failure pass-through current may
disappear when the power supply voltage V_D is lowered,
and the test may not be achieved.

Low Temperature Measurement Method

10 This method is a reduction method by using the
fact that the FET leakage current falls when the
operating temperature is lowered.

The lower limit temperature is determined by
the guarantee of reliability and the costs of a
15 temperature apparatus system for maintaining a low
temperature and the test apparatus, but with consumer use
temperature apparatuses for maintaining low temperatures,
about 0°C is the limit, as the rate of reduction of the
FET leakage current is low. Further, the expense and
20 running costs of the temperature apparatus are high, so
the total test cost rises.

Well Bias Method

The well bias method is described in A.
Keshavarzi, K. Roy, and C.F. Hawkins, "Intrinsic Leakage
25 in Low Power Deep Submicron CMOS ICs", in *Int. Test*

FOUO-04549550

Conf., pp. 146-155, IEEE, 1997.

In this reduction method, wiring for supplying the bias voltage is added, so the chip area of the CMOS integrated circuit increases or the integration may be low.

Further, the rate of reduction of the leakage current in this method is strongly dependent on the variability of the effective gate length L_{eff} , so the FET leakage current may be varied along with miniaturization.

Note that A. Keshavarzi, C.F. Hawkins, K. Roy, and V. De, "Effectiveness of Reverse Body Bias for Low Power CMOS Circuits", in *8th NASA Symposium on VLSI Design*, pp. 2.3.1-2.3.9, Oct. 1999, the rate of reduction is 1/5 when the effective gate length L_{eff} is $0.18 \mu m$, while the rate of reduction is $\frac{1}{2}$ when the effective gate length L_{eff} is $0.13 \mu m$.

As a test method for a CMOS integrated circuit, in addition to test methods using a fixed threshold value, there are known the Delta method and current ratio method.

Delta Method

The Delta method is described in A.C. Miller, "Delta I_{DDQ} Testing", in U.S. Patent No. 5889408, March 1999. In this method, the test is conducted while providing an upper limit on the difference between the

09564540-092801

minimum value and maximum value of the I_{DDQ} . Since upper
limit values are not set individually for CMOS integrated
circuits, the overlooked defect current may be large.
Further, a defect current of an extent less than the
5 amount of fluctuation between vector points (measurement
points) of the normal leakage current may not be
detected.

Current Ratio Method

The current ratio method is disclosed in
10 Japanese Unexamined Patent Publication (Kokai) No. 2000-
171529. In this method, the test is conducted while
making the ratio of the minimum value and maximum value
of the I_{DDQ} a fixed ratio and setting an upper limit, so
an overlooked defect current may occur due to the defect
15 current occurring at all vector points. Further,
sometimes it is not possible to detect a defect current
of an extent less than the amount of fluctuation between
vector points of the normal leakage current.

Note, the power supply current of a CMOS
20 integrated circuit can be divided into a transient
current at the time of switching and a quiescent current
at the time of quiescence. In an I_{DDQ} test, generally the
quiescent current at the time of quiescence is measured
to determine defective or indefectible (defect-free) of
25 the CMOS integrated circuit.

In the I_{DDQ} tests of the related art, it is assumed that the I_{DDQ} is the leakage current (FET leakage current) in the FETs.

In recent years, it has become important to
5 integrate a plurality of devices of different designs on a single chip in order to reduce the size of portable devices and reduce costs through integration.

Therefore, a need has arisen for I_{DDQ} testing a CMOS integrated circuit with a circuit(s) having a
10 current(s) (circuit leakage current(s)) due to pull-up, pull-down, and bus collision.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the present invention is to provide a
15 method and apparatus of determining a defect-free semiconductor integrated circuit able to determine a defective or indefectible (defect-free) circuit regardless of the existence of a circuit leakage current.

According to a first aspect of the present
20 invention, there is provided a method of determining a defect-free or defect semiconductor integrated circuit, comprising: a first measurement step for measuring a quiescent power supply current (QPSC) of a first semiconductor integrated circuit (IC), a plurality of
25 times in a predetermined interval after stop of the

TOP SECRET

operation of the first IC; a first data calculation step
for calculating a first feature data indicating a
feature(s) of the measured QPSCs of the first IC; a
second measurement step for measuring a QPSC of a second
5 semiconductor IC, a plurality of times in the same
condition to that of the first IC after stop of the
operation of the second IC; a second data calculation
step for calculating a second feature data indicating a
feature(s) of the measured QPSCs of the second IC; and a
10 comparison and determination step for comparing a
resemble between the first feature data and the second
feature data, and determining the first and second ICs as
defect-free ICs when the resemble is high or the first
and second ICs as defect ICs when the resemble is low.

15 The first and second ICs may be formed on the same
semiconductor wafer.

Preferably, the IC comprises a complementary metal
oxide semiconductor (CMOS) IC.

preferably, one of the first and second ICs is
20 decided as a reference IC, the second measurement step
and the second calculation step are carried out for other
semiconductor IC as the second IC, in the comparison and
determination step, the second IC is determined as a
defect-free IC when the resemble is high, or as a defect
25 IC when the resemble is low.

Preferably, in the first data calculation step, a first average QPSC of the measured QPSCs of the first IC and a first plurality of QPSC deviations of the measured QPSCs of the first IC which are (measured QPSCs of the first IC - the first average) are calculated, and in the second data calculation step, a second average QPSC of the measured QPSCs of the second IC and a second plurality of QPSC deviations of the measured QPSCs of the second IC which are (the measured QPSCs of the second IC - the second average) are calculated. The method may further comprise a third data calculation step for performing a first regression analysis on the first plurality of QPSC deviations and the second plurality of QPSC deviations to produce a first regression line and calculating a gradient of the first regression line, performing a second regression analysis on the measured QPSCs of the first IC to produce a second regression line and calculating a predicted QPSC, and calculating a decision coefficient defined by the following formula.

$$1 - \frac{\sum (\text{measured QPSCs of the second IC} - \text{predicted QPSC})^2}{\sum (\text{second deviation})^2}$$

In the comparison and determination step, the first and second ICs are resemble when the decision coefficient is greater than a limit value, and the deviation of the

gradient and the ratio is in a predetermined range.

Alternatively, in the first data calculation step, a first average QPSC of the measured QPSCs of the first IC, a first standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of the first IC, and first normalized values defined as (the measures QPSCs of the first IC - the first average)/the first standard deviation are calculated, and in the second data calculation step, a second average of the measured QPSCs of the second IC, a second standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of the first IC, and second normalized values defined as (the measures QPSCs of the second IC - the second average)/the second standard deviation are calculated. The method may further comprise a third data calculation step for performing a first regression analysis on the first plurality of normalized values and the second plurality of normalized values to produce a first regression line and calculating a gradient of the first regression line, performing a second regression analysis on the first normalized values to produce a second regression line and calculating a predicted normalized value, calculating an average normalized value of the second plurality of normalized values, and calculating a decision coefficient defined by the following formula.

09954540-092004

$$1 - \frac{\sum (\text{second standard values} - \text{predicted standard value})^2}{\sum (\text{second standard values} - \text{average standard value})^2}$$

In the comparison and determination step, the first and second ICs are resemble when the decision coefficient is greater than a limit value, and the gradient is in a predetermined range.

Alternatively, in the first data calculation step, a first average QPSC of the measured QPSCs of the first IC, a first standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of the first IC, and a first feature value defined by (the first average QPSC / the first standard deviation) are calculated, in the second data calculation step, a second average QPSC of the measured QPSCs of the second IC, a second standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of the second IC, and a second feature value defined by (the second average QPSC / the second standard deviation) are calculated, and in the comparison and determination step, the first and second ICs are resemble when the first and second feature values are in a predetermined range.

Alternatively, in the first data calculation step, a first average QPSC of the measured QPSCs of the first IC, first QPSC deviations which are (the measured QPSCs of the first IC - the first average QPSC), and first feature values defined by (the first QPSC deviations / the first

QPSC) are calculated, the second data calculation step, a second average QPSC of the measured QPSCs of the second IC, second QPSC deviations which are the measured QPSCs of the second IC - the second average QPSC, and second
5 feature values defined by (the second QPSC deviations / the second QPSC average) are calculated, in the comparison and determination step, the first and second ICs are resemble when the first and second feature data are in a predetermined range.

10 According to a second aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of determining a defect-free or defect semiconductor integrated circuit, comprising: a first measurement step for measuring each quiescent power supply current (QPSC) of each of a
15 plurality of reference semiconductor integrated circuits (ICs), a plurality of times in a predetermined interval after stop of the operation of the first IC; a first data calculation step for calculating each first QPSC average of measured QPSCs of each reference IC, each first
20 standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of each reference IC, each of first normalized values defined by ((the measured QPSCs - the corresponding first QPSC average) / the corresponding first standard deviation), each of first average normalized value of each of first
25 normalized values, each of first feature value defined by

TOPSECRET 04549550

0954540-092200
TOP SECRET

((each of the measured QPSCs - the corresponding each first QPSC average) - (the corresponding each first normalized values / the corresponding each first standard deviation)), and the maximum feature value among the first feature values; a second measurement step for measuring a QPSC of a test IC a plurality of times in the same conditions to the reference ICs after stop of the operation of the test IC; a second data calculation step for calculating a second QPSC average of measured QPSCs of the test IC, a second standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of the test IC, second normalized values defined by ((the measured QPSCs of the test IC - the second QPSC average) / the second standard deviation), a second average normalized value of the second normalized values, and second feature values defined by ((the measured QPSCs of the test IC - the second average QPSC) - (the second normalized values / the second standard deviation)); a comparison and determination step for comparing the second feature value and the maximum feature value and determining the test IC as a defect-free IC when the second feature values is smaller than the maximum feature value or a defect IC when one of the second feature values exceeds the maximum feature value.

According to a third aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of determining a

defect-free or defect semiconductor integrated circuit,
comprising: a first measurement step for measuring a
quiescent power supply current (QPSC) of a reference
semiconductor integrated circuit (IC), a plurality of
5 times in a predetermined interval after stop of the
operation of the reference IC; a first data calculation
step for calculating a first standard deviation of
measured QPSCs of the reference IC; a second measurement
step for measuring a QPSC of a test IC a plurality of
10 times in the same condition of that of the reference IC
after stop of the operation of the test IC; a second data
calculation step for calculating a QPSC average and a
second standard deviation of measured QPSCs of the test
IC, and a comparison and determination step for comparing
15 a parameter which is ((QPSC average - (the second
standard deviation / the first standard deviation)) and a
limit and determining the test IC as a defect-free IC
when the parameter is smaller than the limit or the test
IC as a defect IC when the parameter is equal or greater
20 than the limit.

According to a fourth aspect of the present
invention, there is provided a method of determining a
defect-free or defect semiconductor integrated circuit,
comprising: a first measurement step for measuring each
25 quiescent power supply current (QPSC) of each of a

FOI 2004-04549650

plurality of reference semiconductor integrated circuits (ICs), a plurality of times in a predetermined interval after stop of the operation of the first IC; a first data calculation step for calculating each first QPSC average of measured QPSCs of each reference IC, each first standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of each reference IC, and each of first coefficients defined by ((the measured QPSCs - the corresponding first QPSC average) / the corresponding first standard deviation); a second measurement step for measuring a QPSC of a test IC a plurality of times in the same conditions to the reference ICs after stop of the operation of the test IC; a second data calculation step for calculating a first QPSC average of measured QPSCs of the test IC, and second deviations defined by (the measured QPSCs of the test IC - the first QPSC average); a third data calculation step for performing regression analysis on the first coefficients and the second deviations to produce a regression analysis, calculating a predicted coefficient from the regression line and a gradient of the regression line, and calculating a decision coefficient by the following formula, and

$$1 - \frac{\sum (the\ first\ coefficients - the\ predicted\ coefficient)^2}{\sum (the\ second\ deviations)^2}$$

a comparison and determination step for comparing the test IC as a defect-free IC when the decision coefficient is greater than a limit and (the gradient / the second deviations) are in a predetermined range.

5 According to a fifth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of determining a defect-free or defect semiconductor integrated circuit, comprising: a first measurement step for measuring each quiescent power supply current (QPSC) of each of a
10 plurality of reference semiconductor integrated circuits (ICs), a plurality of times in a predetermined interval after stop of the operation of the first IC; a first data calculation step for calculating each first QPSC average of measured QPSCs of each reference IC, each first
15 standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of each reference IC, each of first normalized values defined by ((the measured QPSCs - the corresponding first QPSC average) / the corresponding first standard deviation), each of first average normalized value of each of first
20 normalized values, and each of first feature value defined by ((each of the measured QPSCs - the corresponding each first QPSC average) - (the corresponding each first normalized values / the corresponding each first standard deviation)); a second
25 measurement step for measuring a QPSC of a test IC a

09064540.092801

plurality of times in the same conditions to the
reference ICs after stop of the operation of the test IC;
a second data calculation step for calculating a second
QPSC average of measured QPSCs of the test IC, a second
5 standard deviation of the measured QPSCs of the test IC,
second normalized values defined by ((the measured QPSCs
of the test IC - the second QPSC average) / the second
standard deviation)), and second normalized value
deviation defined as (the second normalized values - the
10 average normalized values); a third data calculation step
for performing regression analysis on the first
coefficients and the second deviations to produce a
regression analysis, calculating a predicted feature
value from the regression line and a gradient of the
15 regression line, and calculating a decision coefficient
by the following formula, and

$$1 - \frac{\sum (the\ first\ feature\ values - the\ predicted\ feature\ value)^2}{\sum (the\ second\ standard\ values - an\ average\ of\ the\ second\ standard\ value)^2}$$

a comparison and determination step for determining the
20 test IC as a defect-free IC when the decision coefficient
is greater than a limit and the gradient is in a
predetermined range.

According to the present invention, there is
provided apparatuses for carrying out above methods.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

These and other objects and features of the present invention will become clearer from the following description of the preferred embodiments given with
5 reference to the attached drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1 is a first explanatory view illustrating the case where vector waveforms resemble each other between defect-free CMOS integrated circuits;

FIG. 2 is a second explanatory view illustrating the
10 case where vector waveforms resemble each other between defect-free CMOS integrated circuits;

FIG. 3 is a third explanatory view illustrating the case where vector waveforms resemble each other between defect-free CMOS integrated circuits;

15 FIG. 4 is a plot graph view of an example of the distribution of plotted points showing deviations at different vector points for two CMOS integrated circuits sampled from a plurality of CMOS integrated circuits under test;

20 FIG. 5 is a plotted graph of an example of the distribution of plotted points showing a mean value of a plurality of measured values of a quiescent power supply current and a standard deviation for approximately 800 CMOS integrated circuits passing a scan test;

25 FIG. 6 is a graph illustrating the distribution of

09564540-092304

processing for inspection of resemblance;

FIG. 15 is a flow chart of computer processing in the tester in FIG. 9 and shows a first embodiment of a method for determining defect of a CMOS integrated
5 circuit;

FIG. 16 is a flow chart of computer processing in the tester in FIG. 9 and shows a second embodiment of a method for determining defect of a CMOS integrated
circuit;

10 FIG. 17 is a flow chart of computer processing in the tester in FIG. 9 and shows a third embodiment of a method for determining defect of a CMOS integrated circuit;

FIG. 18 is a flow chart of computer processing in
15 the tester in FIG. 9 and shows a fourth embodiment of a method for determining defect of a CMOS integrated circuit;

FIG. 19 is a flow chart of computer processing in the tester in FIG. 9 and shows a fifth embodiment of a
20 method for determining defect of a CMOS integrated circuit; and

FIG. 20 is a flow chart of computer processing in the tester in FIG. 9 and shows a sixth embodiment of a method for determining defect of a CMOS integrated
25 circuit.

09964540 092801
108250 0454350

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Preferred embodiments of the present invention will be described in detail below with reference to the attached figures.

5 First, a basic concept of the present invention will be described and preferred embodiments will be described.

One example of a semiconductor integrated circuit (IC) of the present invention is referred to a CMOS IC.

Defect-free CMOS Integrated Circuit

10 In a CMOS IC, circuit leakage current and deep submicron (ICs of gate length of under 0.25 μm) FET leakage current sometimes exhibit values of more than several hundred μA . These leakage currents often differ for individual CMOS integrated circuits due to the
15 variability of manufacturing conditions even for CMOS integrated circuits of the same design and formed on the same semiconductor wafer.

Further, the circuit leakage current may change at each vector point (strobe point) due to the changes in
20 internal nodes depending on the test pattern, and the FET leakage current may change due to a drain induced barrier lowering (DIBL) and/or a gate inducted drain leakage (GIDL). Regarding on this matter, reference may be made to M.C. Johnson, D. Somasekhar, and K. Roy, "Models and
25 Algorithms for Bounds on Leakage in CMOS Circuits", in

09564540-092801

IEEE Tran. CAD IC Sys., vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 714-725, June 1999.

In this embodiment of the present invention, when measuring the quiescent power supply circuit (QPSC) I_{DDQ} in the CMOS IC, as the quiescent state, a clock applied to the CMOS IC is held from the time of end of switching of the test pattern, the current value after a predetermined time passes and the current stabilizes is measured several times at a predetermined interval. It is assumed that the measured current may include current other than the FET leakage current.

The reason why the measurement of the several times of the QPSC is preferable, is that the QPSC may vary in the elapse of the time even in the quiescent state of the CMOS IC.

In the specification, the measurement points are called as vector points.

When assigning serial numbers to the vector points (measurement points) and preparing a plotted graph of the distribution of plotted points showing vector points and measured current values at those vector points, the vector waveforms comprised of lines connecting the plotted points in the order of their serial numbers can be considered to often resemble or approximately resemble each other between defect-free CMOS integrated circuits.

FIG. 1 to FIG. 3 are explanatory views illustrating cases of vector waveforms resembling each other between defect-free CMOS integrated circuits. Note that in FIG. 1 to FIG. 3, the abscissa indicates the serial numbers i of the vector points, the ordinate shows the current values I_{Li} of the quiescent power supply current, and there are a total of 20 vector points in each CMOS integrated circuit.

FIG. 1 is a view illustrating the relationship between vector points showing measurement points for measuring the quiescent power supply current and the measured value of the quiescent power supply current at the vector points in two defect-free CMOS integrated circuits Die-A and Die-B. This drawing shows vector waveforms comprised of bent lines connecting the plotted points.

Note that the O marks (circles) correspond to the measured value of the quiescent power supply current at the vector points in the defect-free CMOS integrated circuit Die-A, while the Δ marks (triangles) correspond to the measured value of the quiescent power supply current at the vector points of the defect-free CMOS integrated circuit Die-B.

FIG. 2 is a view of the case when the vector waveforms of FIG. 1 are shifted to be superposed.

FIG. 3 is a view of the case when the vector waveform of the Die-B in FIG. 1 is enlarged in the vertical direction and the enlarged vector waveform and the vector waveform of the Die-A are superposed. The vector waveforms overlap and coincide. In this way, the vector waveforms of the defect-free CMOS integrated circuits Die-A and Die-B shown in FIG. 1 to FIG. 3 resemble each other. Further, it is possible to sort out the defect-free CMOS integrated circuits using this resemblance.

In the present invention, by using the resemblance of two semiconductor ICs, more specifically, by using the resemblance of two feature data (or feature parameters) such as the vector waveforms obtained from the measured quiescent power supply currents (QPSCs) of two semiconductor ICs, a defect-free IC can be determined. If the both feature data are resemble, the both IC are defect-free. If the defect-free IC is found, other ICs can be tested by using the found defect-free IC as a reference IC.

A variety of the determination methods by using the resemblance of the present invention will be described below.

Considering the resemblance of the vector waveforms of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits, a quiescent

power supply current I_{DDQ} of a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit, that is, a normal leakage current I_{Li} , is expressed by the following equation (1). Note that in equation (1), R_i is a proportional coefficient, and i is the index of the vector point.

$$I_{Li} = R_i \cdot I_p + I_{AL} \quad (1)$$

In equation (1), the relationship of the following equation (2) stands between a current parameter I_p and a constant current in the whole vector points I_{AL} and the mean (average) value I_l of the normal leakage currents I_{Li} .

$$I_l = I_p + I_{AL} \quad (2)$$

15 Measured Current and Defect Current

In a CMOS integrated circuit existing a defect current, a measured value I_{Qi} of the quiescent power supply current measured at each vector point is expressed by the following equation (3), namely, the total of the normal leakage current I_{Li} , the defect current I_{RDi} occurring independently or randomly at each vector point, and the constant defect current I_{SD} occurring similarly at each vector point.

$$I_{Qi} = I_{Li} + I_{RDi} + I_{SD} \quad (3)$$

Defect-Free Device Selection

To determine defect or indefectibly (defect-free) CMOS integrated circuits, it is desirable to collect defect-free CMOS integrated circuits in advance. This collecting of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits is called as "defect-free device selection".

Normally, many CMOS ICs are formed in a same semiconductor wafer. A plurality of defect-free CMOS ICs used for reference ICs are selected among many CMOS ICs, and other CMOS ICs are tested by using such reference ICs.

Of course, it is not always required such the many reference ICs, and it is enough by selecting at least one reference (defect-free) IC.

Note, the defect-free device sorting can be applied to the defect-free or defect IC detection.

Defect-Free Device Selection - 1

A deviation I_{DLi} is expressed by the following equation (4) by using the normal leakage current I_{Li} at each vector point i ($i = 1$ to n) in a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit and the mean value I_ℓ of the currents. Note that when the total number n of the vector points is made a plurality of points, the mean value $I_\ell = (I_{L1} + I_{L2} + \dots + I_{Ln}) / n$.

$$I_{DL1} = I_{L1} - I_\ell \quad (4)$$

By substituting the normal leakage current I_{L1} of the above equation (1) and the mean value I_i of the above equation (2) into the above equation (4), the following equation (5) is obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{DL1} &= (R_i \cdot I_p + I_{AL}) - (I_p + I_{AL}) \\ &= (R_i - 1) \cdot I_p \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The ratio of deviations at vector points for two defect-free CMOS integrated circuits X and Y is shown by the following equation (6).

$$\begin{aligned} I_{DLyi} / I_{DLxi} &= \{ (R_i - 1) \cdot I_{py} \} / \{ (R_i - 1) \cdot I_{px} \} \\ &= I_{py} / I_{px} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The parameters I_p and deviations I_{DL1} of the two defect-free CMOS integrated circuits are made the parameter I_{px} and the deviation I_{DLxi} for the CMOS integrated circuit X and made the parameter I_{py} and deviation I_{DLyi} for the CMOS integrated circuit Y.

In this way, when the CMOS integrated circuits X and Y are defect-free, the ratio of the deviations (I_{DLyi} / I_{DLxi}) of the normal leakage flows is constant regardless of the vector points. The ratio of deviations (I_{DLyi} / I_{DLxi}) is made equal to S_A , $S_A = I_{DLyi} / I_{DLxi}$.

FIG. 4 is an explanatory view plotting the deviations at each vector point for two CMOS integrated

00964540-09201

circuits X and Y extracted from a plurality of CMOS integrated circuits under test. The defect-free device is shown by the O marks (circles), while the defective device is shown by the Δ marks (triangles).

5 Namely, when the both CMOS IC X and Y are defect-free, the normal leakage current deviations I_{DLxi} and I_{DLyi} are plotted in an orthogonal coordinates to obtain a substantially strait line RL having a gradient (slant) S_A . The line RL is called as a regression (recursive)
10 line.

The gradient S_A can be obtained by, for example, a root mean square method.

When the two sampled CMOS integrated circuits X and Y are defect-free, the plotted points of the normal
15 leakage current deviations I_{DLxi} and I_{DLyi} form a line (regression line). The slant of this line is S_A .

Predicted values y_{Hi} of the quiescent power supply current of the CMOS IC will be calculated by using the regression line and the slant S_A , as described below.

20 A decision coefficient (correlation coefficient, residual square) r^2 showing the correlation between two CMOS integrated circuits is expressed by the following equation (7). When the two CMOS integrated circuits are defect-free, the value of r^2 is 1 or substantially 1.

TOP SECRET 04549660

$$r^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_{Hi})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_A)^2} \quad \dots \quad (7)$$

5 Note that in the above equation (7), y_i indicates
the measured values of the quiescent power supply current
of the CMOS integrated circuit Y at a vector point \underline{i} , y_A
is the mean (average) value of the measured value y_i (= I_{Qyi}), and y_{Hi} is the predicted values obtained by
10 predicting the measured values y_i using the regression
line from the measured value x_i (= I_{Qxi}) of the quiescent
power supply current (QPSC) of the CMOS integrated
circuit X at the vector point \underline{i} . The actual measured
value y_i of the QPSC of the CMOS IC Y is called as an
15 actual value.

Specifically, when the measured value y_i of the
quiescent power supply current of the CMOS integrated
circuit Y can be approximated by

$$y_i = C + S_A \cdot x_i, \quad \dots \quad (7-1)$$

20

the predicted value y_{Hi} is expressed by the regression
equation

$$y_{Hi} = c + S_A \cdot x_i \quad \dots \quad (7-2)$$

25 The term c in the regression equation indicates a

0964540 092804

constant.

The smaller the difference between the measured value y_i and the predicted value y_{Ri} , the smaller the deviation from the predicted value shown. Therefore, it is possible to use the above equation (7) to calculate the decision coefficient r^2 and use this decision coefficient r^2 as a yardstick for applicability (matching) of the regression equation.

Note that for regression analysis, reference may be made to Takemura, *Kyoritsu Seminar on Mathematics in the 21st Century - 14: Statistics*, pp. 36-37, Kyoritsu Publishing, 1997.

When one or both of the measured values of the quiescent power supply current of two CMOS integrated circuits includes a defect current I_{RD1} , the plot or plotted points of the measured value(s) is or are deviated from the regression line and the value of the decision coefficient r^2 becomes smaller.

In a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit, if $I_{AL} = 0$ in the above equations (1) and (2), when one or both of the two CMOS integrated circuits includes a certain defect current I_{SD} , the mean value I_q of the measured value I_{Qi} becomes larger than the mean value I_l of the normal leakage currents I_{Li} and the ratio (I_q/I_{qx}) of the mean values I_q and I_{qx} of the quiescent power supply

current of the two CMOS integrated circuits Y and X no longer coincides with the slant S_A of the regression line.

Then, in the two CMOS integrated circuits X and Y, when the condition that the decision coefficient r^2 is larger than the preset lower limit L_{RA}^2 and the condition that the difference $(S_A - I_{qy}/I_{qx})$ between the ratio of the mean values of the quiescent power supply current and the slant S_A of the regression line is within a preset range, it is possible to determine that the two CMOS integrated circuits X and Y resemble each other in vector waveforms and sort out the circuits as defect-free circuit.

Defect-Free Device Selection - 2

A standard deviation σ_L of the normal leakage current I_{Li} of the above equation (1) is expressed by the following equation (8) using the standard deviation σ_R of a proportional coefficient R_i .

$$\sigma_L = \sigma_R \cdot I_p \quad (8)$$

A normalized value R_{Li} of the normal leakage current I_{Li} is expressed by the following equation (9) using the mean value I_t and the standard deviation σ_L .

$$R_{Li} = (I_{Li} - I_t) / \sigma_L \quad (9)$$

The above equation (9) can be modified as in the

following equation (10) using equations (4) and (5).

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Li} &= I_{DLi} / \sigma_L \\ &= (R_i - 1) \cdot I_p / \sigma_L \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

5 The above equation (10) can be modified as in the following equation (11) using equation (8).

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Li} &= (R_i - 1) \cdot I_p / (\sigma_R \cdot I_p) \\ &= (R_i - 1) / \sigma_R \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

10 From the above equation (11), the normalized value R_{Li} becomes the same value regardless of the magnitude of the normal leakage current I_{Li} . Therefore, when the two CMOS integrated circuits X and Y are defect-free, if finding the ratio of the normalized values at the vector
15 points, the value becomes 1 as shown in the following equation (12). Note that in the following equation (12), the normalized value of the normal leakage current of the CMOS integrated circuit X is named as R_{Lxi} and the normalized value of the normal leakage current of the
20 CMOS integrated circuit Y is named as $R_{Ly i}$.

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Ly i} / R_{Lxi} &= \{ (R_i - 1) / \sigma_R \} / \{ (R_i - 1) / \sigma_R \} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, the normalized value R_{Qi} of a
25 measured value I_{Qi} of the quiescent power supply current

09964540-092801

including a defect current is expressed by the following equation (13) using the standard deviation σ_Q and mean value I_Q of the measured value I_{Qi} .

$$R_{Qi} = (I_{Qi} - I_Q) / \sigma_Q \quad (13)$$

5

By using equation (3) for the above equation (13), the following equation (14) is obtained. Note that the mean value of the defect currents I_{RDi} is expressed by I_{rd} .

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Qi} &= \{ (I_{Li} + I_{RDi} + I_{SD}) - (I_Q + I_{rd} + I_{SD}) \} / \sigma_Q \\ &= (I_{Li} - I_Q + I_{RDi} - I_{rd}) / \sigma_Q \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

10

Since the change in the standard deviation σ_Q due to the defect current I_{RDi} is small, the standard deviation σ_Q can be approximated by the standard deviation σ_L of the normalized value R_{Li} of the normal leakage current I_{Li} . The above equation (14) can be modified to the following equation (15) by this approximation.

15

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Qi} &= (I_{Li} - I_Q) / \sigma_L + (I_{RDi} - I_{rd}) / \sigma_L \\ &= R_{Li} + (I_{RDi} - I_{rd}) / \sigma_L \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

20

The mean values I_{Qx} and I_{Qy} and standard deviations σ_{Qx} and σ_{Qy} are calculated and the normalized values R_{Qxi} and R_{Qyi} are calculated from the measured values I_{Qxi} and I_{Qyi} of the quiescent power supply current of the two CMOS integrated circuits X and Y. Further, regression analysis

25

is performed on the normalized values (R_{Qxi} and R_{Qyi}) to obtain the regression line.

The gradient S_B of the regression line by, for example a root means square method, and predicted
5 normalized value R_{Hi} are obtained by using the gradient S_B and the regression line, as shown in the formula (7-2). Thereafter, a decision coefficient r_B^2 is calculated by the following formula.

$$r_B^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum (R_{Qyi} - R_{Hi})^2}{\sum (R_{Qyi} - R_A)^2}$$

10 where, R_A is an average of R_{Qyi} (7A)

In the plotted graph of the normalized value R_{Qi} , due to the deviation of the normalized value R_{Qi} from the regression line by the defect current I_{RDi} , the decision
15 coefficient r_B^2 becomes smaller, so it is possible to select defect-free devices by setting a lower limit of the decision coefficient r_B^2 .

When the condition that the decision coefficient r_B^2 is larger than a preset lower limit L_{RB}^2 and the condition
20 that the difference ($S_B - 1$) between the slant S_B of the regression line and 1 is within a preset allowable range are satisfied, it can be determined that the two CMOS integrated circuits X and Y resemble each other in vector waveform and the devices can be sorted out as defect-

09964540-092804

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

distribution between the mean value I_q and standard deviation σ_q of the measured value of the quiescent power supply current and the standard deviation σ_q for approximately 800 CMOS integrated circuits passing a scan
5 test. Most of the plotted points corresponding to the defect-free CMOS integrated circuits are positioned on or near the line of $I_q = 0.7 + 0.5 \cdot \sigma_q$ (that is, the ideal line).

Note that the plotted points of the x marks
10 correspond to the defective CMOS integrated circuits not passing a functional test. The plotted points of the + marks correspond to the defect-free CMOS integrated circuits passing the functional test.

FIG. 6 is a graph of the reciprocals ($1/\sigma_R$) of the
15 standard deviations of the proportional coefficients R_i for the group of CMOS integrated circuits of FIG. 5. In this graph, the abscissa shows $1/\sigma_R$, while the ordinate shows the frequency. The mean value of the distribution is about 0.5, the standard deviation of the distribution
20 is about 0.02, and the mean value of the distribution coincides with the slant 0.5 of the ideal line in FIG. 5.

Defect-Free Device Selection - 4

The equation (5) showing the deviation I_{DL1} of the normal leakage current I_{L1} can be modified to the
25 following equation (18) using equation (2).

09549660

$$\begin{aligned} I_{DL1} &= (R_i - 1) \cdot (I_\ell - I_{AL}) \\ &= (R_i - 1) \cdot I_\ell + (1 - R_i) \cdot I_{AL} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Here, if preparing a plotted graph of the
5 distribution of plotted points showing the deviation I_{DL1}
and mean value I_ℓ for defect-free CMOS integrated
circuits, the plotted points are positioned on or near
the line corresponding to the above equation (18).

The deviation I_{DQi} of a measured value I_{Qi} of the
10 quiescent power supply current including a defect current
 I_{RD1} is expressed by the following equation (19) using
equations (3) and (18).

$$\begin{aligned} I_{DQi} &= I_{Qi} - I_q \\ &= (I_{Li} + I_{RD1} + I_{SD}) - (I_\ell + I_{rd} + I_{SD}) \\ 15 \quad &= I_{DL1} + I_{RD1} - I_{rd} \\ &= (R_i - 1) \cdot I_\ell + (1 - R_i) \cdot I_{AL} + I_{RD1} - I_{rd} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

By substituting $I_\ell = I_\ell - I_{rd} - I_{SD}$ in the above equation
(19), the following equation (20) is obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{DQi} &= (R_i - 1) \cdot (I_q - I_{rd} - I_{SD}) + (1 - R_i) \cdot I_{AL} - I_{rd} + I_{RD1} \\ 20 \quad &= (R_i - 1) \cdot I_q + (1 - R_i) \cdot (I_{AL} + I_{SD}) - R_i \cdot I_{rd} + I_{RD1} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

If preparing a plotted graph of the distribution of
the plotted points showing the deviation I_{DQi} and mean
25 value I_q for CMOS integrated circuits under test from the

difference between the above equation (20) and equation (18), when a measured current I_{Qi} includes a defect current, its plot is positioned deviated from the line corresponding to the above equation (18) (ideal line).

5 Therefore, it is possible to sort out the CMOS integrated circuits corresponding to plotted points positioned in an allowable range from the ideal line (for example, the intermediate region sandwiched between upper and lower parallel lines of the ideal line) among the
10 CMOS integrated circuits under test as being defect-free. This selection method is effective when the number of vectors is small.

FIG. 7 is a plotted graph illustrating the distribution of the mean value I_q and deviation $I_{DQi} = I_{Qi} - I_q(N=1)$ of the quiescent power supply current for about
15 800 CMOS integrated circuits. Most of the plotted points corresponding to the defect-free CMOS integrated circuits are positioned on or near the line of $I_{DQi} = 0.75 - 0.96 \cdot I_q$ (that is, the ideal line).

20 Note that the plotted points of the x marks correspond to the defective CMOS integrated circuits not passing a functional test. The plotted points of the + marks correspond to the defect-free CMOS integrated circuits passing the functional test.

25 Predicted Error

00964440-09200
F08260-04549660

The normalized value R_{Li} is calculated for each of the plurality of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits obtained by the selection of defect-free circuits. The normalized values R_{Li} are averaged for the plurality of CMOS integrated circuits to calculate the reference normalized value R_{Ni} . By using this normalized value R_{Ni} and equation (9), the predicted value J_{Li} of a normal leakage current I_{Li} can be obtained by the following equation (21).

10
$$J_{Li} = R_{Ni} \cdot \sigma_L + I_\ell \quad (21)$$

The predicted error E_i is expressed by the following equation (22) using the measured value I_{Li} , mean value I_ℓ , and standard deviation σ_L of quiescent power supply current of a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit on which the calculation of the standard deviation R_{Ni} was based.

15
$$\begin{aligned} E_i &= I_{Li} - J_{Li} \\ &= I_{Li} - I_\ell - R_{Ni} \cdot \sigma_L \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

20 FIG. 8 is a plotted graph illustrating the distribution of plotted points showing a standard deviation σ_L and predicted error E_i for defect-free CMOS integrated circuits. In FIG. 8, the predicted error E_i is less than about half of the standard error σ_L , that is,
25 the maximum value E_{MAX} is about half of the standard

09964540-092801

deviation σ_L .

It is possible to find the maximum value E_{MAX} of the predicted error E_i for all vector points of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits in this way.

5 Determination of Defect or Defect-free

Defect or defect-free CMOS integrated circuit under test can be determined based on the features of a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit obtained by selection out defect-free circuits and the measured value I_{Qi} of
10 quiescent power supply current of the CMOS integrated circuit under test.

Determination of Defect or Defect-free - 1 (I_{RD} Test)

Consider an estimated error P_{Di} of the following
15 equation (23) for a CMOS integrated circuit under test based on equation (22). In this equation (23), the measured value I_{Qi} , mean value I_q , and standard deviation σ_q of quiescent power supply current of the CMOS integrated circuit under test are used.

20
$$P_{Di} = I_{Qi} - I_q - R_{Ni} \cdot \sigma_q \quad (23)$$

The mean value I_q of the measured value I_{Qi} is expressed by the following equation (24) using equation (3).

25
$$I_q = I_l + I_{rd} + I_{sd} \quad (24)$$

By applying equations (24), (3), and (22) to equation (23), it is possible to obtain the following equation (25).

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{Di} &= (I_{Li} + I_{RDi} + I_{SD}) - (I_l + I_{rd} + I_{SD}) - R_{Ni} \cdot \sigma_Q \\
 &\approx I_{Li} - I_l - R_{Ni} \cdot \sigma_L + I_{RDi} - I_{rd} \\
 &= E_i + I_{RDi} - I_{rd}
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

In the above equation (25), the standard deviation σ_Q closes the standard deviation σ_L since the change due to the defect current I_{RDi} is small.

In a defect-free circuit, the defect current I_{RDi} is 0 or substantially 0, so the condition for determination of defect or defect-free can be expressed by the following equation (26).

$$-E_{MAX} < P_{Di} < E_{MAX} \tag{26}$$

The defect current I_{RDi} of a defective circuit is expressed by the following equation (27) using the above equation (25) when the predicted error $E_i = E_{MAX}$. The value expressed by this equation (27) can be used as a yardstick.

$$I_{RDi} = P_{Di} - E_{MAX} + I_{rd} \tag{27}$$

Determination of Defect or Defect-free - 2 (I_{SD}

25 Test)

When there is no defect current I_{RD_i} in the measured value I_{Q_i} of quiescent power supply current of a CMOS integrated circuit, the measured value I_{Q_i} is expressed by the following equation (28) from equation (3).

$$I_{Q_i} = I_{L_i} + I_{SD} \quad (28)$$

The standard deviation σ_Q of a measured value I_{Q_i} in this case is equal to the standard deviation σ_L of the normal leakage current I_{L_i} , so the parameter I_p is expressed by the following equation (29) from equation (8).

$$I_p = \sigma_Q / \sigma_R \quad (29)$$

The mean value I_q of the measured value I_{Q_i} of the above equation (28) is expressed by the following equation (30) using equation (2).

$$\begin{aligned} I_q &= I_\ell + I_{SD} \\ &= I_p + I_{AL} + I_{SD} \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From the above equations (30) and (29), the total $(I_{AL} + I_{SD})$ of the DC components of the measured value I_{Q_i} is expressed by the following equation (31).

$$\begin{aligned} I_{AL} + I_{SD} &= I_q - I_p \\ &= I_q - \sigma_Q / \sigma_R \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

When the leakage current of a CMOS integrated circuit under test is only the FET leakage current, as shown by the following equation (32), the condition for a defect-free circuit may be made that the total ($I_{AL} + I_{SD}$)

5 be less than the maximum value E_{MAX} of the predicted error E_i .

$$E_{MAX} > I_q - \sigma_Q / \sigma_R \quad (32)$$

When the leakage current of a CMOS integrated circuit under test includes a circuit leakage current and there is variability in the magnitude of the circuit leakage current due to variability in the manufacturing conditions, the condition of a defect-free circuit may be made that the variability be within the allowable range

10 of variability of the magnitude of the circuit leakage current.

Note, the ratio σ_Q / σ_R , where σ_Q is the standard deviation of the measured quiescent power supply current (QPSC) I_{Qi} of the test CMOS IC and σ_R is defined as $I_p =$

20 σ_Q / σ_R by the formula 29, is expressed as I_p , and thus the formula (32) is expressed as follows.

$$E_{MAX} > I_q - I_p \quad \dots (32a)$$

($I_q - I_p$) is a deviation, namely, the

25 variation.

0964540-092804
FD8260-04543550

In the following equation (33), the upper limit L_{SE} corresponds to the allowable range.

$$L_{SE} > I_q - \sigma_Q / \sigma_R \quad \dots \quad (33)$$

5 Determination of Defect or Defect-free - 3

A coefficient $K_{Li} = (I_{Li} - I_l) / I_l$ corresponding to the deviation of the normal leakage current I_{Li} at each vector point is calculated in advance for a plurality of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits. The coefficients

10 K_{Li} are averaged for the plurality of CMOS integrated circuits to calculate an average coefficient K_{Ni} .

The coefficient $K_{Li} (= (I_{Li} - I_l) / I_l)$ is a normalized value of the normal leakage current deviation I_{PLi} by the average current I_l of normal leakage current I_{Li} .

15 The calculation of the average coefficient K_{Ni} from the plurality of the coefficients K_{Li} is intended to increase an accuracy of the coefficient K_{Ni} . Therefore, the coefficient K_{Li} of one reference CMOS IC can be applied in the following descriptions and equations.

20 Next, the mean value I_q and deviation $I_{DQi} (= I_{Qi} - I_q)$ are calculated from the measured value I_{Qi} of a CMOS integrated circuit under test.

The regression analysis is performed on the coefficient K_{Ni} and the deviation I_{PQi} of the measured

25 quiescent power supply current I_{Qi} , to obtain a

100-0449660

regression line. A gradient S_c of the regression line is obtained by, for example, a root mean square, and a predicted coefficient K_{Hi} is calculated by using the gradient S_c and the regression line expressed by the
5 formula (7-2).

A decision coefficient r_c^2 is calculated by the following formula.

$$r_c^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum (K_{Ni} - K_{Hi})^2}{\sum (I_{PQi})^2} \quad \dots (7B)$$

10

When the condition that the decision coefficient r_c^2 is greater than a preset lower limit L_{RC}^2 and the condition that the difference $(S_c/I_q - 1)$ of the ratio S_c/I_q and 1 is in a preset allowable range are satisfied,
15 it is determined that the two CMOS integrated circuits resemble each other in vector waveform and the CMOS integrated circuit under test can be sorted out as defect-free.

Determination of Defect or Defect-free - 4

20 A normalized value $R_{Li} = (I_{Li} - I_t) / \sigma_L$ is calculated in advance for a plurality of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits based on the normal leakage current I_{Li} at each vector point and its mean value I_t and standard deviation σ_L . The normalized values R_{Li} are averaged for the

plurality of CMOS integrated circuits to calculate an average normalized value R_{Ni} .

The coefficient $R_{Li} (= (L_{Li} - I_1) / \sigma_1)$ is a normalized value of the normal leakage current deviation I_{PLi} by the standard deviation σ_1 of normal leakage current I_{Li} .

The calculation of the average coefficient R_{Ni} from the plurality of the coefficients R_{Li} is intended to increase an accuracy of the coefficient R_{Ni} . Therefore, the coefficient R_{Li} of one reference CMOS IC can be applied in the following descriptions and equations.

Next, the mean value I_q and deviation $I_{DQi} (= I_{Qi} - I_q)$ and the standard deviation σ_q and standard deviation $R_{Qi} = I_{DQi} / \sigma_q$ are calculated from the measured value I_{Qi} of the CMOS integrated circuit under test.

The regression analysis is performed on the coefficient R_{Ni} and R_{Qi} to obtain a regression line. A gradient S_D of the regression line is obtained by, for example, a root mean square, and a predicted coefficient R_{Hi} is calculated by using the gradient S_D and the regression line expressed by the formula (7-2).

A decision coefficient r_D^2 is calculated by the formula (7A).

When the condition that the decision coefficient r_D^2 is greater than a preset lower limit L_{RD}^2 and the condition that the difference $(S_D - 1)$ of the slant S_D of

the regression line and 1 is in a preset allowable range
are satisfied, it is determined that the two CMOS
integrated circuits resemble each other in vector
waveform and the CMOS integrated circuit under test can
5 be sorted out as defect-free.

Determination of Defect or Defect-free - 5 (Two-
Point Test Method)

With a functional test of CMOS integrated circuits,
it is possible to detect about 60 to 80 percent of the
10 defects by the measured value of the first vector point.
Therefore, a standby test based on measurement of one
point (one vector point) is often performed.

Below, an explanation will be made of a two-point
test method for testing CMOS integrated circuits based on
15 the measured values of two vector points.

The reference value W_{L12} obtained by dividing the
difference $(I_{L1} - I_{L2})$ between the measured value I_{L1} at the
first point and the measured value I_{L2} at the second
point by the mean value I_t in a defect-free CMOS
20 integrated circuit is expressed by the following equation
(34). Note that the reference value W_{L12} corresponds to a
yardstick of the extent of variability of the measured
values I_{L1} and I_{L2} .

$$W_{L12} = (I_{L1} - I_{L2}) / I_t \quad (34)$$

The above equation (34) can be modified as in the following equation (35) using equations (1) and (2).

$$\begin{aligned} W_{L12} &= \{ (R_1 \cdot I_p + I_{AL}) - (R_2 \cdot I_p + I_{AL}) \} / (I_p + I_{AL}) \\ &= (R_1 - R_2) \cdot I_p / (I_p + I_{AL}) \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

5

When I_{AL} is 0 or substantially 0 in the above equation (35), the following equation (36) is obtained.

$$W_{L12} = R_1 - R_2 \quad (36)$$

10

In this way, the reference value W_{L12} becomes the difference of the proportional coefficients R_1 and R_2 and becomes a constant value, so the reference value W_{L12} can be found in advance from the measured values I_{L1} and I_{L2} of a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit.

15

When the measured value I_{Q1} of the quiescent power supply current of a CMOS integrated circuit includes a defect current, the comparative value W_{Q12} obtained by dividing the difference $(I_{Q1} - I_{Q2})$ of the measured value I_{Q1} at the first point and the measured value I_{Q2} at the second point by the mean value I_q is expressed by the following equation (37).

20

$$W_{Q12} = (I_{Q1} - I_{Q2}) / I_q \quad (37)$$

The above equation (37) can be modified as in the following equation (38) using equation (3).

25

TOP SECRET 04549660

$$\begin{aligned} W_{Q12} &= \{ (I_{L1} + I_{RD1} + I_{SD}) - (I_{L2} + I_{RD2} + I_{SD}) \} / I_q \\ &= (I_{L1} - I_{L2} + I_{RD1} - I_{RD2}) / I_q \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

When I_{rd} is 0 or substantially 0 in equation (24),
 5 that is, when $I_{RD1} = I_{RD2} = 0$, the mean value I_q becomes $I_\ell + I_{SD}$. By substituting this mean value I_q in the above equation (38), the following equation (39) is obtained.

$$W_{Q12} = (I_{L1} - I_{L2}) / (I_\ell + I_{SD}) \quad (39)$$

10 From the above equation (39) and equation (34), the following equation (40) is obtained.

$$W_{Q12} < W_{L12} \quad (40)$$

On the other hand, when I_{SD} is 0 or substantially 0
 15 in equation (24), the mean value I_q becomes $I_\ell + I_{rd}$. Further, when $I_{rd} \ll I_\ell$, $I_q \approx I_\ell$. It is possible to modify the above equation (38) to the following equation (41) using the equation of this mean value I_q .

$$\begin{aligned} W_{Q12} &\approx (I_{L1} - I_{L2}) / I_\ell + (I_{RD1} - I_{RD2}) / I_\ell \\ 20 \quad &= W_{L12} + (I_{RD1} - I_{RD2}) / I_\ell \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

According to the above equation (41), the relative magnitudes of the value W_{Q12} and W_{L12} change according to the relative magnitudes of the defect currents I_{RD1} and
 25 I_{RD2} . From these relationships, the condition for a

09964540-093001

defect-free circuit may be made that the difference between the reference value W_{L12} and the comparative value W_{Q12} ($W_{Q12} - W_{L12}$) be within a preset allowable range. The condition for a defect-free device can be expressed using
5 the upper limit T_{12} .

$$|W_{Q12} - W_{L12}| < T_{12} \quad (42)$$

Tester

FIG. 9 is a view of showing the configuration of a
10 tester for carrying out the method of determination of a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit according to the present invention. This tester 30 has a measuring instrument 10 and a computer 20 and conducts a functional test on the CMOS integrated circuits 50_1 to 50_N .

15 The measuring instrument 10 measures the quiescent power supply current I_{DDQ} for the CMOS integrated circuits 50_1 to 50_N for each of the vector points i and supplies the measured value I_{Qi} to the computer 20.

The computer 20 has a control device, a storage
20 device, an input device, and an output device. The control device of the computer 20 processes the measured value I_{Qi} from the measuring instrument 10 in various ways, determines defect (defect or defect-free) and inspects the resemblance of the CMOS integrated circuits
25 50_1 to 50_N based on the results of processing, and

09364360 04543660

outputs the results of determination of defect (defect or defect-free) and the results of inspection of resemblance to the output device.

Access to the storage device of the computer 20 is
5 controlled by the control device. This storage device stores the measured values of the defect-free CMOS integrated circuits and the measured value I_{Qi} of the vector points i of the CMOS integrated circuits 50_1 to 50_N . Note that the storage device may also be configured
10 to store in advance the measured value I_{Li} of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits before measuring the quiescent power supply current I_{DDQ} of the CMOS integrated circuits 50_1 to 50_N .

Method of Determination of Defect-Free Device

15 Next, the method of determination of a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit will be explained by the method of selection out a defect-free circuit and the method of determination of defect (defect or defect-free).

In the method of selection out a defect-free
20 circuit, a defect-free circuit is sorted out from a group of CMOS integrated circuits under test based on the measured value I_{Qi} of the quiescent power supply current for the CMOS integrated circuits under test (DUT).

On the other hand, in the method of determination of
25 defect (defect or defect-free), it is determined if a

03644-0960

CMOS integrated circuit under test is a defect-free circuit based on the measured value I_{Li} of quiescent power supply current of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits and the measured value I_{Qi} of quiescent power supply current of the CMOS integrated circuit under test. The defect-free CMOS integrated circuits are defined for example as defect-free CMOS integrated circuits obtained by the method of selection out a defect-free circuit.

Method of Selection Out Defect-Free Devices

FIG. 10 is a flow chart of processing of the computer 20 in the tester 30 of FIG. 9 and shows an embodiment of the method of selection out a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit. Note that at the start stage, it is assumed that the measured values I_{Qi} of quiescent power supply current of the CMOS integrated circuits 50_1 to 50_N have been obtained.

Step 1: Provisional Selection of Reference IC

At step S1, one CMOS integrated circuit is extracted from the group of CMOS integrated circuits 50_1 to 50_N to be sorted (group of selection ICs) and designated as the reference IC. This reference IC is removed from the group of selection ICs.

Step 2: Resemble Test

At step S2, the remaining devices of the group of selection ICs are successively designated as comparative

ICs and inspected for resemblance of vector waveforms with the reference IC.

Step 3: Comparison

At step S3, it is determined if there is a
5 comparative IC with a resembling vector waveform in the group of selection ICs inspected for resemblance.

Step 4: determination

When there is no comparative IC having a resembling vector waveform, the routine proceeds to step S4, where
10 it is determined that the reference IC is defective.

When there is a comparative IC having a resembling vector waveform, the routine proceeds to step S5, where it is determined that the comparative IC is defect-free and that comparative IC is removed from the group of
15 selection ICs.

These steps S1 to S5 are repeated until the number of remaining circuits of the group of selection ICs becomes 1 or 0 so as to thereby enable selection out of defect-free devices.

20 Note that at step S5, by collecting the comparative ICs for each reference IC, it is possible to classify the defect-free circuits by the differences in waveforms.

First Example of Resemble Test

FIG. 11 is a flow chart of a first embodiment of
25 processing for inspection of resemblance at step S2 of

FIG. 10.

First, at step S11, the deviation I_{DQxi} ($= I_{Qxi} - I_{qx}$) is calculated for the measured value I_{Qxi} of the quiescent power supply current of the reference IC and the

5 deviation I_{DQyi} ($= I_{Qyi} - I_{qy}$) is calculated based on the measured value I_{Qyi} of the quiescent power supply current of the comparative IC.

Here, I_{qx} is the mean value obtained by averaging the measured value I_{Qxi} for all vector points \underline{n} , while I_{qy} 10 is the mean value obtained by averaging the measured value I_{Qyi} for all vector points \underline{n} .

At step S12, regression analysis is performed on the deviations (I_{DQxi} and I_{DQyi}) to obtain a regression line.

A gradient S_A of the regression line is obtained by, 15 for example, a root mean square, and a predicted quiescent power supply current I_{H1} is calculated by using the gradient S_A and the regression line expressed by the formula (7-2).

A decision coefficient r_A^2 is calculated by the 20 formula (7).

At step S13, when $L_{RA}^2 < r_A^2$ and $|S_A - I_{qy}/I_{qx}| < L_{SA}$, it is determined that the vector waveforms of the comparative IC and reference IC resemble each other.

Here, L_{RA}^2 is the lower limit of the decision 25 coefficient r_A^2 , while L_{SA} is the upper limit

03964340-092807
T03260-0449560

corresponding to the allowable range of deviation from the slant S_A .

Second Example of Resemble Test

FIG. 12 is a flow chart of a second embodiment of processing for inspection of resemblance at step S2 of FIG. 10.

First, at step S21, the normalized value $R_{Qxi} = (I_{Qxi} - I_{qx}) / \sigma_{Qx}$ is calculated based on a measured value I_{Qxi} of the quiescent power supply current of the reference IC, while the normalized value $R_{Qyi} = (I_{Qyi} - I_{Qy}) / \sigma_{Qy}$ is calculated based on the measured value I_{Qyi} of the quiescent power supply current of the comparative IC.

Here, σ_{Qx} is the standard deviation of the measured value I_{Qxi} , while σ_{Qy} is the standard deviation of the measured value I_{Qyi} .

At step S22, regression analysis is performed on the normalized values (R_{Qxi} and R_{Qyi}) to obtain a regression line.

A gradient S_B of the regression line is obtained by, for example, a root mean square, and a predicted normalized value R_{Hi} is calculated by using the gradient S_B and the regression line expressed by the formula (7-2).

A decision coefficient r_b^2 is calculated by the formula (7A).

At step S23, when $L_{RB}^2 < r_B^2$ and $|S_B - I| < L_{SB}$, it is determined that the vector waveforms of the comparative IC and reference IC resemble each other.

Here, L_{RB}^2 is the lower limit of the decision coefficient r_B^2 , while L_{SB} is the upper limit corresponding to the allowable range of deviation from the slant S_B .

Third Example of Resemble Test

FIG. 13 is a flow chart of a third embodiment of the processing for inspection for resemblance at step S2 of FIG. 10.

First, at step S31, the mean values I_q and standard deviations σ_q of measured values of quiescent power supply current of a group of selection ICs including the reference IC and comparative ICs are calculated and a plotted graph of (I_q and σ_q) is prepared. For example, a plotted graph having an abscissa indicating the mean value I_q and an ordinate indicating the standard deviation σ_q and including plotted points indicating the (I_q and σ_q) of the CMOS integrated circuits is prepared.

At step S32, the line where the plotted points concentrate in the plotted graph is found. When the two plotted points corresponding to the reference IC and comparative IC are positioned in an allowable range from that line (for example, within a predetermined distance),

TOP SECRET

it is determined that the waveforms of the reference IC and comparative IC resemble each other.

Note that the flow chart of FIG. 13 can be used when extracting defect-free CMOS integrated circuits from the group of selection ICs.

For example, the mean values I_q and standard deviations σ_q of the measured values I_{qi} of the group of selection ICs are calculated, a plotted graph of (I_q and σ_q) is prepared, and it is determined that the CMOS integrated circuit corresponding to a plot positioned in an allowable range from the line where the plotted points concentrate in the plotted graph is defect-free.

Fourth Example of Resemble Test

FIG. 14 is a flow chart of a fourth embodiment of the processing for inspection of resemblance at step S2 in FIG. 10.

First, at step S41, the mean values I_q and deviations I_{Dqi} of the measured values of the quiescent power supply current of the group of selection ICs including the reference IC and comparative ICs are calculated and a plotted graph of (I_q and I_{Dqi}) is prepared. For example, a plotted graph having an abscissa indicating the mean value I_q and an ordinate indicating the deviation I_{Dqi} and including plotted points indicating (I_q and I_{Dqi}) of the CMOS integrated circuits is prepared.

At step S42, the line where the plotted points concentrate in the plotted graph is found. When the two plotted points corresponding to the reference IC and comparative IC are positioned in an allowable range from that line (for example, within a predetermined distance), it is determined that the waveforms of the reference IC and comparative IC resemble each other.

Note that the flow chart of FIG. 14 can be used when extracting defect-free CMOS integrated circuits from the group of selection ICs.

For example, the mean values I_q and standard deviations I_{DQi} of the measured values I_{Qi} of the group of selection ICs are calculated, a plotted graph of (I_q and I_{DQi}) is prepared, and it is determined that a CMOS integrated circuit corresponding to a plot positioned in an allowable range from the line where the plotted points concentrate in the plotted graph is defect-free.

Method of Determination of Defect or Defect-free

Preferred embodiments of the determination of defect-free or defect IC will be described.

First Embodiment of Determination

FIG. 15 is a flow chart of a first embodiment of a method of determination of defect (defect or defect-free). This method of determination of defect determines that an integrated circuit device under test (DUT) is

defect-free when the computer 20 of the tester 30 of FIG. 9 holds the measured values I_{Li} of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits.

First, at step S51, the coefficients $K_{Li} = (I_{Li} - I_\ell) / I_\ell$ at all of the vector points i are calculated from a group of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits (group of ICs) and the coefficients K_{Li} are averaged for the group of defect-free ICs to calculate a reference coefficient K_{Ni} . Note that the coefficients K_{Li} are values obtained by normalizing the deviation $(I_{Li} - I_\ell)$ of the measured value I_{Li} by the mean value I_ℓ .

The calculation of the average coefficient K_{Ni} from the plurality of the coefficients K_{Li} is intended to increase an accuracy of the coefficient K_{Ni} . Therefore, the coefficient K_{Li} of one reference CMOS IC can be applied in the following descriptions and equations.

At step S52, the deviation $I_{DQi} = I_{Qi} - I_q$ is calculated from a measured value I_{Qi} of the quiescent power supply current of the IC device under test (DUT).

At the step S53, the regression analysis is performed on the coefficient K_{Ni} and the deviation I_{PQi} of the measured quiescent power supply current I_{Qi} , to obtain a regression line. A gradient S_c of the regression line is obtained by, for example, a root mean square, and a predicted coefficient K_{HI} is calculated by using the

gradient S_c and the regression line expressed by the formula (7-2).

A decision coefficient R_c^2 is calculated by the formula (7B).

5 At step S54, when $L_{RC}^2 < R_c^2$ and $|S_c/I_q - 1| < L_{SC}$ are satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test is defect-free, while when they are not satisfied, it is determined that the device under test is defective.

10 Here, L_{RC}^2 is the lower limit of the decision coefficient R_c^2 , while L_{SC} is the upper limit corresponding to the allowable range of deviation from the slant S_c .

Second Embodiment of Determination

15 FIG. 16 is a flow chart of a second embodiment of the method of determination of defect (defect or defect-free). This method of determination of defect determines that an IC device under test (DUT) is defect-free when the computer 20 of the tester 30 of FIG. 9 holds the measured values I_{Li} of defect-free CMOS integrated
20 circuits.

First, at step S61, the normalized values $R_{Li} = (I_{Li} - I_i)/\sigma_L$ at all of the vector points i are calculated from a group of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits (IC group), and the normalized values R_{Li} are averaged for the group
25 of defect-free ICs to calculate an average normalized

value R_{Ni} .

The normalized value $R_{Li} (= (L_{Li} - I_1) / \sigma_1)$ is a normalized value of the normal leakage current deviation I_{PLi} by the standard variation σ_1 of I_{Li} .

5 The calculation of the average normalized value R_{Ni} from the plurality of the coefficients K_{Li} is intended to increase an accuracy of the normalized value R_{Ni} .

Therefore, the normalized value R_{Li} of one reference CMOS IC can be applied in the following descriptions and
10 equations.

At step S62, the normalized value $R_{Qi} = (I_{Qi} - I_q) / \sigma_q$ is calculated from the measured value I_{Qi} of the quiescent power supply current of the IC device under test (DUT).

At the step S62, the regression analysis is
15 performed on the coefficient K_{Ni} and the deviation I_{PQi} of the measured quiescent power supply current I_{Qi} , to obtain a regression line. A gradient S_D of the regression line is obtained by, for example, a root mean square, and a predicted normalized value R_{Hi} is calculated by using
20 the gradient S_D and the regression line expressed by the formula (7-2).

A decision coefficient r_D^2 is calculated by the formula (7B).

At step S64, when $L_{RD}^2 < r_D^2$ and $|S_D - 1| < L_{SD}$ are
25 satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test

FOUO-01549660

is defect-free, while when they are not satisfied, it is determined that it is defective.

Here, L_{RD}^2 is the lower limit of the decision coefficient r_D^2 , while L_{SD} is the lower limit corresponding to the allowable range of deviation from the slant S_D .

Third Embodiment of Determination

FIG. 17 is a flow chart of a third embodiment of the method of determination of defect. This method of determination of defect (defect or defect-free) determines that a device under test (DUT) is defect-free when the computer 20 of the tester 30 of FIG. 9 holds the measured values I_{Li} of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits.

First, at step S71, the normalized values $R_{Li} = (I_{Li} - I_i) / \sigma_i$ at all of the vector points i are calculated from a group of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits (IC group), and the normalized values R_{Li} are averaged for the group of defect-free ICs to calculate an average normalized value R_{Ni} .

The calculation of the average normalized value R_{Ni} from the plurality of the coefficients R_{Li} is intended to increase an accuracy of the value R_{Li} . Therefore, the value R_{Li} of one reference CMOS IC can be applied in the following descriptions and equations.

At step S72, a maximum value E_{MAX} giving $|I_{Li} - I_i - R_{Ni} \cdot \sigma_L| < E_{MAX}$ is found.

At step S73, the mean value I_q and standard deviation σ_Q are calculated from the measured value I_{Qi} of the IC device under test.

At step S74, when $|I_{Qi} - I_q - R_{Ni} \cdot \sigma_Q| < E_{MAX}$ is satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test is defect-free, while when it is not satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test is defective.

10 Fourth Embodiment of Determination

FIG. 18 is a flow chart of a fourth embodiment of the method of determination of defect (defect of defect-free). This method of determination of defect determines that an IC device under test (DUT) is defect-free when the computer 20 of the tester 30 of FIG. 9 holds the measured values I_{Li} of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits.

First, at step S81, the mean values I_i and the standard deviations σ_L are calculated for a group of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits (IC group).

At step S82, a plotted graph of (σ_L and I_i) is prepared, the slant of the line at which the plotted points concentrate is found, and that slant is made $(1/\sigma_R)$. For example, a plotted graph having an abscissa (x-axis) showing the standard deviation σ_L and an

ordinate (y-axis) showing the mean value I_i and including plotted points showing the standard deviation σ_L and mean value I_i is prepared.

At step S83, the mean value I_q and standard deviation σ_q are calculated from measured value I_{qi} of the IC device under test.

At step S84, when $I_q - \sigma_q / \sigma_R < L_{SE}$ is satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test is defect-free, while when it is not satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test is defective. Note that L_{SE} is the upper limit corresponding to the allowable range of error between a mean value I_q and the value σ_q / σ_R .

Fifth Embodiment of Determination

FIG. 19 is a flow chart of a fifth embodiment of the method of determination of defect. This method of determination of defect determines that a device under test (DUT) is defect-free when the computer 20 of the tester 30 of FIG. 9 holds measured values I_{L1} and I_{L2} of two vector points of a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit.

First, at step S91, the reference value $W_{L12} = (I_{L1} - I_{L2}) / I_i$ obtained by dividing the difference of the measured values I_{L1} and I_{L2} by the mean value I_i is calculated for a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit.

At step S92, a comparative value $W_{Q12} = (I_{Q1} - I_{Q2}) / I_q$

obtained by dividing the difference of the measured values I_{Q1} and I_{Q2} of the two vector points by the mean value I_q is calculated for the IC device under test.

At step S93, when $|W_{Q12} - W_{L12}| < T_{12}$ is satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test is defect-free, while when it is not satisfied, it is determined to be defective. Note that T_{12} is the upper limit showing the allowable range of the difference between the comparative value W_{Q12} and reference value W_{L12} .

Sixth Embodiment of Determination

FIG. 20 is a flow chart of a sixth embodiment of the method of determination defect or defect-free. This method of determination of defect determines that an IC device under test (DUT) is defect-free when the computer 20 of the tester 30 in FIG. 9 holds the measured values I_{Li} of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits.

First, at step S101, the mean values I_i and the deviations I_{DL1} are calculated for each IC in a group of defect-free CMOS integrated circuits (IC group), and a total mean value and total deviations are calculated for the group of ICs.

The coefficient $K_{Li} = (I_{Li} - I_1) / I_1$ is a normalized value of the normal leakage current deviation I_{PLi} by the average current I_1 of normal leakage current I_{Li} .

The calculation of the total average and total

deviations is intended to increase an accuracy of the same. Therefore, the average and deviation of one reference CMOS IC can be applied in the following descriptions and equations.

5 At step S102, a plotted graph of (I_i and I_{DL1}) is prepared and the line at which the plotted points concentrate (ideal line) is found. For example, a plotted graph having an abscissa (x-axis) showing the mean value I_i and an ordinate (y-axis) showing the deviation I_{DL1} and
10 including plotted points showing the mean value I_i and deviation I_{DL1} is prepared. It is possible to find the (R_i-1) in equation (18) from the slant of the ideal line and possible to find the $(1-R_i) \cdot I_{AL}$ in equation (18) from the y section from this plotted graph.

15 At step S103, the mean value I_q and deviation $I_{DQi} = I_{Qi} - I_q$ are calculated from the measured value I_{Qi} of the device under test.

At step S105, when $|I_{DQi} - J_{DQi}| < T_D$ is satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test is defect-free,
20 while when it is not satisfied, it is determined that the IC device under test is defective. Note that T_D is the upper limit corresponding to the allowable range of error between a deviation I_{DQi} and predicted deviation J_{DQi} .

In the above description, the CMOS IC is discussed
25 as an example, however, the present invention can be

applied a variety of semiconductor IC.

Summarizing the effects of the present invention, it is possible to provide a method and apparatus for determination of a defect-free CMOS integrated circuit enabling determination of a defect-free state without regard as to the presence of a circuit leakage current.

While the invention has been described with reference to specific embodiments chosen for purpose of illustration, it should be apparent that numerous modifications could be made thereto by those skilled in the art without departing from the basic concept and scope of the invention.

092260 0454950